From Visual Simulation to Virtual Reality to Games

During the past two decades, the virtual reality community has based its development on a synthesis of earlier work in interactive 3D graphics, user interfaces, and visual simulation. Doing so let developers create a more open technology than the visual simulation community could, increased the number of people working in 3D, and developed a science, technology, and language considerably beyond that of the earlier field.

Beginning in 1997 with the publication of an NRC report titled “Modeling and Simulation—Linking Entertainment and Defense,” the video game community has pushed into spaces previously the domain of the VR community. Clearly, the VR field is transitioning into work influenced by video games and thus now influences that industry as well. Because much of the research and development being conducted in the games community parallels the VR community’s efforts, it has the potential to affect a greater audience.

Given these trends, VR researchers who want their work to remain relevant must realign to focus on game research and development. Research in the games arena affects not just the entertainment industry but also the government and corporate organizations that could benefit from the training, simulation, and education opportunities that serious games provide.

DEFINING SERIOUS GAMES

People respond differently to the emotionally charged term game depending on whether they played or did not play video games while growing up. This is basically a generation-gap issue because children who have grown up since the 1980s have been exposed to video games their entire lives.

Before we can seriously tackle the issue of what a games research agenda might be, we must define what the term means. Dictionaries tend to define a game as a physical or mental contest, played according to specific rules, with the goal of amusing or rewarding the participants. When seeking a definition of the more specific term video game, we are likely to encounter a description such as “a game played against a computer,” which would more accurately be worded as “a game played with a computer.” To fully flesh out this definition, we might propose the following: “Video game: a mental contest, played with a computer according to certain rules for amusement, recreation, or winning a stake.”

Leveraging technology from the visual simulation and virtual reality communities, serious games provide a delivery system for organizational video game instruction and training.
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Developing a science of games opens up a huge potential for the wider application of games in governmental and corporate arenas. The formal definition might read as follows: “Serious game: a mental contest, played with a computer in accordance with specific rules, that uses entertainment to further government or corporate training, education, health, public policy, and strategic communication objectives.”

Bing Gordon, chief creative officer of video and computer games developer Electronic Arts, once told me that he defines video games as “story, art, and software.”

When designing a game, the development team blends these elements into a finished product. The design team crafts the story, which provides the game’s entertainment component. The art team provides the game’s look and feel. The programming team develops the code that implements story requirements, interface features, networking, Web connectivity, scoring systems, AI scripting, game engine changes, and just about anything technical or programmatic that the entire development effort requires.3

Serious games have more than just story, art, and software, however. As Figure 1 shows, they involve pedagogy: activities that educate or instruct, thereby imparting knowledge or skill. This addition makes games serious. Pedagogy must, however, be subordinate to story—the entertainment component comes first. Once it’s worked out, the pedagogy follows.

A human performance engineering team works closely with the design team to oversee this pedagogy insertion. The team’s lead is part instructional scientist and part subject-matter expert for the domain around which the teams are building the serious game.

Building serious games takes more than simply handing their development to a traditional game team, however. The team must interact with the instructional scientists and subject matter experts that comprise the human performance engineering team. To thrive, this new organization must have a university's facilities and support or similar research organization. A research agenda that supports serious games also benefits the entertainment industry, one of the US’s largest economic sectors.

CREATING A SCIENCE OF GAMES

The development and wide release of the America’s Army game began a revolution in thinking about the potential role of video games for nonentertainment domains. It also sparked a discussion about how to advance game technology’s state of the art to support future entertainment and serious games.4 This, in turn, promoted interest in creating a science of games and an allied educational program. Experiences with digital-game natives—those who have grown up playing games—indicated that a game-centered research and educational program could offer many positive benefits. While much speculation regarding these benefits is anecdotal, substantive evidence shows that game experiences affect digital-game natives positively. If researchers construct and perform their studies carefully, they may be able to harness these positive effects for societal gain.

The announcement of America’s Army, shown in Figure 2, at the 2002 Electronics Entertainment
Expo prompted the US Army to commission a study of the game to see if it could be used for training. In the summer of 2002, a US Army captain from Fort Benning, who was tasked with spending about a week playing the game, reported that he was unimpressed. Although he deemed the game a good recruiting tool, he indicated that there “was insufficient fidelity in the game for it to be of any use in training.”

Cyberpunk author William Gibson once noted that the street finds its own uses for things. We saw this effect in action in October 2002, when a staff sergeant from Fort Benning approached the America’s Army booth at the Army’s annual AUSA Conference in Washington, D.C. An enthusiastic gamer who had played America’s Army from day one, he told the staff, “We love this game at Benning. We use it for training.”

The sergeant had bypassed the Army’s requirements documents and formal studies and deployed the game on his own initiative. He went on to explain that when new recruits had trouble with the rifle range or the obstacle course, his team had those recruits play America’s Army and required them to complete those levels in the game, as shown in Figure 3. When the recruits did so, they then went back to the range and usually passed the range tests. This made us wonder what other applications would benefit from game-based instruction—especially after we developed a revised version of America’s Army with new levels for a variety of Department of Defense, Army, and Secret Service training needs.

Six to nine months after its release, mothers would meet me and complain that “my son is playing America’s Army five to six hours a day, seven days a week. What is going to become of him?” I would usually answer that these children would be twice as likely to consider a career in the US Army as those who didn’t play the game, something the Army counts on with respect to the game’s recruiting mission. When I asked the mothers if their children knew a lot about the US Army, the mothers usually responded that “they know everything about the Army, having learned it from the game. Wouldn’t it be nice if playing games could teach them something more useful?”

These comments led us to wonder how much of K-12 science and math education could be taught via games and how we might exploit students’ capability for collateral learning—the learning that happens by some mechanism other than formal teaching. Ultimately, we wondered if we could incorporate all K-12 science and math education in a highly immersive, highly addictive game—we called this our “first-person education” grand challenge, a play on the phrase “first-person shooter.”

Given the many anecdotes about digital-game natives being better surgeons and displaying exceptional business skills, it became clear that creating a science of games—a scientific and engineering method for building them and understanding and analyzing game play—had become essential.
GAME PRODUCTION CHALLENGES

Today, game production poses some incredible challenges. Teams come together to build a single game, then dissolve. Training times on game engine toolsets increase steadily. Code modules, crafted for specific games, offer less than 30 percent reuse in subsequent efforts. First-person shooters are currently the only games that have reusable engines. These engines are proprietary, however, used for a few games only, licensed at exorbitant expense, cost more than $6 million, and take more than a year to develop. Game production times increase steadily as graphics cards provide more visual capabilities and the game-playing public demands more interactivity and verisimilitude.

The demand for better computer characters and story increases with the complexity of visual displays and with the release of each new, more complex-than-ever game. Game play innovation is becoming a competitive necessity. The big hits have been sports, first-person shooters, adventure, and SimS-type games. For the game industry to continue to grow, additional genres must become more sophisticated, with better backstories and thoroughly researched, developed, and deployed foundational game-play technologies.

The game-playing public is becoming ever more enamored of portable entertainment platforms, and computing speeds are becoming ever faster. These trends pose the critical challenge of providing well-designed interfaces, ever-increasing storage capacity, and expanded wireless network bandwidth. Efficient streaming of content to wireless portable devices and for game downloads from the Web will also become priorities.

GAMES RESEARCH AGENDA

To influence the future of both serious and entertainment games, developers must create a research and development agenda that transforms the game production process from a handcrafted, labor-intensive effort to one with shorter, more predictable production timelines that still manages to provide innovations and increased complexity. This research agenda has three components: the infrastructure, cognitive game design, and immersion.

Infrastructure

The underlying software and hardware necessary for developing interactive games include massively multiplayer online game architectures, game engines and tools, streaming media, next-genera-
encourage research into the proper interfaces for such devices and in determining how to best deploy them for serious and entertainment purposes.

**Cognitive game design**

Taking a cognitive approach to game development will give developers the tools to create theories and methods for

- modeling and simulating computer characters, story, and human emotion;
- analyzing large-scale game play;
- innovating new game genres and play styles; and
- integrating pedagogy with story in the interactive game medium.

Computer-generated autonomy involves modeling human and organizational behavior in networked games, for example, deploying the technology from a game like *The Sims* for a serious purpose, such as a training aid for nursing. Developers can use this approach to model and simulate hospital operations in game form, providing an immersive experience for the nurse trainee.

Creating a compelling computer-generated story has long been a game development challenge. To overcome this challenge, developers must computationally model story by deploying engines and tool suites that dramatically simplify the construction of networked game storylines.

The modeling and simulation of human emotion lies at the frontier of networked games and simulations. For the entertainment world, the future of gaming includes developing an experience so immersive that it engages the players’ emotions on a visceral level. The military, homeland security, defense, and hospital trauma sectors need a similar game-based simulation capability that spans the spectrum of entertainment and serious game developers. This capability must be thoroughly researched to determine in advance its potential human impact.

Understanding and analysis will play a key role in the games research agenda. When researchers place humans in large-scale MMOGs or single-player modules, they must determine what happens during game play and how the experience affects the players. Current serious game usage and large-scale simulation require human monitors to watch networked play. When the game ends, the human monitor tells the researchers which team won and why.

To refine this process, developers must acquire an automated understanding and analysis capability that can generate a high-level report of what happened during game play over a specified period, from a particular viewpoint, with the option to query the system for additional detailed information. Several defense, homeland security, and educational applications require such automated analyses if gaming is to make meaningful contributions to the serious game domain. In addition, the entertainment industry might find such analyses useful as a marketing and game-refinement tool.

Pedagogy and story integration involve determining theories and developing practices for inserting learning opportunities into story, such that participants find the story immersive and entertaining because the embedded instruction remains subordinate to it. The game industry has already witnessed the failure of *edutainment*, an awkward combination of educational software lightly sprinkled with game-like interfaces and cute dialog. This failure shows that story must come first and that research must focus on combining instruction with story creation and the game development process.

**Immersion**

Creating technologies that engage the game player’s mind via sensory stimulation and providing methods for increasing the sense of presence contribute to building a feeling of immersion. This work includes

- computer graphics, sound, and haptics;
- affective computing—sensing human state and emotion; and
- advanced user interfaces.

Sensory channel research plays a fundamental role in games technology. As they develop more capable graphics engines, researchers need to know how to appropriately use that new capability for serious games as well as how to generate new technology that industry can put into the next-generation graphics chipsets it provides.

Spatial and immersive sound are key components for whatever training and educational systems researchers build with gaming. Developers must implement future engineering requirements and human-performance engineering to ensure that they can employ sound appropriately and effectively while minimizing cross-modal sensory conflicts.
Haptics, another key research area, takes games into the tactile realm. Considering that the R&D work they are doing now might be implemented in technology the game industry deploys in the next 10 to 20 years, developers will need to work diligently to continually improve sensory stimulation.

Affective computing measures a person’s physical and emotional state. In the next two years, low-cost sensors will become available that measure a player’s emotional state and provide this information as input when running a game. Software development kits that read those devices and transmit the player’s emotional state to the game will need to be developed. In turn, the game must be able to use that state along with many other inputs and respond appropriately. Although this capability will have a major impact, at this point developers do not really know how this process will work, partly because they lack good models of human emotions and of how computer characters should react to them.

Game designers must understand these things if they are to engineer and implement these capabilities so that these features behave predictably and reliably. This type of research effort could broaden the scope of both entertainment and serious games. Ultimately, a video game may not only make us cry, it may be aware that we are crying and respond appropriately.

Developers use presence to measure the immersive experience of a game player or virtual reality explorer. Whether building a virtual reality environment or a game, developers attempt to provide the illusion that they have entered a virtual world. Ultimately, developers must be able to engineer presence so reliably and convincingly that game makers can author such worlds a priori for the immersive experience rather than just hoping the developed world will be convincingly engaging.

Advanced user interfaces will become key as computing moves from the standard desktop PC to mobile platforms. Much can be gained by studying how the game industry has developed almost universal interfaces that let gamers transition seamlessly from, for example, playing *Quake* to playing *Unreal Tournament*. To make significant progress in deploying serious games, developers must understand interfaces from the game perspective.

**SERIOUS GAMES**

Applying games and simulations technology to nonentertainment domains results in serious games. As Figure 4 shows, this work includes
Educational Programs

The USC Viterbi School of Engineering has several degree programs that work with the GamePipe Laboratory, including a BS and MS in game development and a game development minors program. These degree programs have been developed jointly with the Department of Computer Science, the School of Cinema’s Interactive Media Program, and the School of Engineering’s Information Technology Program. Courses for the MS program have begun as of Fall 2005. The BS program is planned to come online in Fall 2006.

BS and MS programs

The BS program seeks to educate students so that they can engineer next-generation games immediately upon graduation. Students receive a solid grounding in computer science in addition to the art and design expertise required for functioning in the game industry. This program offers the School of Cinema’s core game design sequence: programming for interactivity, 3D game design workshop, and intermediate game design workshop. This sequence of design courses also functions as a series of deficiency courses for the MS in Game Development, ensuring that our BS and MS programs match up and that our MS candidates entering from other universities receive the proper remediation.

The interdisciplinary MS in Game Development program strives to graduate professionally educated students who can engineer next-generation games and their required technologies. The program has a computer science MS core—analysis of algorithms, game AI, and computer graphics and rendering. This is followed by a game development core of game mechanics design and development, game engine programming, and game hardware architectures.

Once they complete the computer science and game development cores, students select a concentration area and take two courses in it. The concentration areas are infrastructure, cognition and games, immersion, and serious games. The course offerings supporting each area allow considerable variability in the educational programs.

Students complete the MS by taking a three-credit advanced-game-project course in each of the last two semesters. These courses have students integrate and apply gained knowledge on a significant game development effort that they then demonstrate the week before graduation. In addition to this advanced game project focus, the majority of courses in this MS program encourage students to collaborate in groups.

Game development minors programs

The Viterbi School of Engineering offers an undergraduate minors program in game development under the Information Technology Program. The program consists of three minors: video game programming, video game design and management, and 3D animation. This program adds six courses of game development material to the undergraduate curriculum. During the 2004-2005 school year, this program had about 600 students enrolled. Students in this program learn the industry-standard tools for the production of games through small in-class projects.

Sponsored projects

Sponsored game development projects form part of both the GamePipe Laboratory’s educational program and its research and development component. By offering an organized pipeline for student intern labor, similar to that used in the game development industry, USC attracts significant funding from industry and governmental agencies. Having the ability to experiment with and build full games and game prototypes is a capability unique to the USC educational program.

GAMEPIPE LABORATORY

The first serious games must be constructed in carefully controlled university environments. The “Educational Programs” sidebar describes the curricula developed at the University of Southern California to advance work in serious and entertainment games. The USC Viterbi School of Engineering has formed the interdisciplinary GamePipe Laboratory to research, develop, and teach the technologies necessary for creating future games.
interactive games and their application. The laboratory’s mission is to research and develop interactive games technologies that dramatically shorten the production timeline, provide supporting technologies for increasing the complexity and innovation in produced games, and enable the creation of serious and entertainment games for government and corporate sponsors.

The GamePipe Laboratory performs the research and development required for next-generation game production. It produces serious games and game prototypes as sponsored projects. It also links with, directs, and develops educational programs in game development and game-related research and builds cross-campus interdisciplinary teams to solve next-generation game technology and development problems.

By performing the research and development required for the future of interactive games in a university environment, developers have the potential to influence not just the future of interactive entertainment but also the future of interactive training, education, and simulation—and, ultimately, the future of all serious-game development and deployment. With the formation of the GamePipe Laboratory and allied educational programs, USC has taken the first step toward that future.

References


Michael Zyda is director of the USC Viterbi School of Engineering’s GamePipe Laboratory, located at the Information Sciences Institute, Marina del Rey, California. His research interests include computer graphics; large-scale, networked 3D virtual environments; modeling human and organizational behavior; interactive computer-generated story, modeling and simulation; and interactive games. Zyda holds a lifetime appointment as a National Associate of the National Academies. He received a DSc in computer science from Washington University, St. Louis. Contact him at zyda@isi.edu.

JOIN A THINK TANK

Looking for a community targeted to your area of expertise? IEEE Computer Society Technical Committees explore a variety of computing niches and provide forums for dialogue among peers. These groups influence our standards development and offer leading conferences in their fields.

Join a community that targets your discipline.

In our Technical Committees, you’re in good company.

www.computer.org/TCsignup/
Growing number of simulations and games - many complex or even "dangerous" applications have been and are being cast into virtual-reality / 2d-3d environments such as; at Empire State College you might even choose to develop your own pilot simulation or educational game taking courses in game design and/or virtual environment creation; for some examples: this link shows a virtual program to teach X-ray technicians; this link is a wikipedia article on a military program using virtual reality; and this link is a reviewer's perspective on this emerging military simulation; this I Simulation games often play an important role, for example flight simulators for training new pilots or business games which allow you to run your own company. They mimic or ‘simulate’ real world activities but within a controlled environment. The main purpose of these games is to educate, train or inform but there are others which allow you to have fun. But we suspect that many people (including us) would enjoy using a flight simulator even though they are designed purely for training purposes. Enjoyment is not usually a feature of business simulation games which are designed to teach certain