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Low-income people in California’s rural areas face the same kinds of legal problems that low-income people across the country face—problems that threaten their health and safety, undermine their family structure, and put at risk their housing and employment. Both urban and rural low-income communities face language barriers, low literacy, and cultural differences. However, rural residents also have needs that are distinct from residents of urban areas. For example, migrant workers, reservation residents, and rural battered women present far different issues of access and legal need than are